1 2 3 4 5 6	LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO Dale K. Galipo, Esq. (SBN 144074) dalekgalipo@yahoo.com Marcel F. Sincich, Esq. (SBN 319508) msincich@galipolaw.com 21800 Burbank Boulevard, Suite 310 Shannon J. Leap, Esq. (SBN 339574) sleap@galipolaw.com Woodland Hills, CA 91367 Phone: (818) 347-3333 Fax: (818) 347-4118 Attorneys for Plaintiff REGINA CASTRO	
8		
9		
10		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13		
14		
15	REGINA CASTRO,	Case No.: 2:23-cv-02810-WLH-MARx
16	Plaintiff,	(PROPOSED) ORDER GRANTING
17	VS.	PLAINTIFF'S <i>EX PARTE</i> APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER
18	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CHAD MELTON, and DOES 1-10,	DIRECTING DEFENDANT COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES TO SHOW
19	inclusive,	CAUSE AS TO WHY THE
20	Defendants.	SETTLEMENT OF THIS ACTION HAS NOT BEEN CONSUMMATED
21		
22		OSC re Dismissal: Date: August 22, 2025
23		Time: 1:00 p.m.
24		[Declaration of Marcel F. Sincich; Proposed Order <i>filed concurrently herewith</i>]
25		
26		
27		
28		

-1- Case No. 2:23-cv-02810-WLH-MARX (PROPOSED) ORDER

(PROPOSED) ORDER

After reviewing Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for an order Directing the County of Los Angeles to Show Cause as to Why the Settlement of this Action has not been Consummated, and GOOD CAUSE appearing, this Court makes the following orders:

- A. The Court sets an Order to Show Cause hearing for August 29, 2025, or whenever convenient thereafter for the Court, at 10:00 a.m., held over Zoom.
- B. At the Order to Show Cause Hearing, Defendant County of Los Angeles shall explain why the settlement of this action has not been presented before the relevant Boards, including why it took over a year and a half for LASD to perform an "internal review" of a matter that was conditionally settled of an incident that took place on March 13, 2022.
- C. Counsel for Defendant County of Los Angeles shall ensure that the individuals with decision-making authority at each step of the approval process are present or represented at the hearing.
- D. At or after the Order to Show Cause, as the Court finds appropriate, the Court will issue an order providing deadlines to Defendants for when each step of the approval process must be completed.

IT IS SO ORDERED

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 DATED:

HONORABLE WESLEY L. SHU 24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

25 26

27 28

Case No. 2:23-cv-02810-WLH-MARx